

UNITED S. 'ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER
SEST	JOPY	-	
ारक प्रकार विकास विक	-	[ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
			1-1-
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/02
	INTE	RVIEW SUMMARY	
All participants (applicant, applican			
(1) John U/		(3) Cre Ster.	C
(2) Your Cill	(2C)	(3) <u>(ne Stet)</u> (4) <u>feniey</u> He	ae/
Date of Interview			
	o Confessor	pony is given to Caralless.	applicant's representative)
		(copy is given to applicant a	
Exhibit shown or demonstration co	inducted: Yes No If y	res, brief description:	
Agreement was reached.			
Identification of prior art discussed	l:		
Description of the general nature of	of what was agreed to if an ag	greement was reached, or any other	comments:
	Laile of solono	and the Had to	in suggest of utility ASSOR
we provided with	an explanation	m from the scienti	ist logarding when the rould
soutled or titilies we	u not contradic	tose 4 discussed	Lossponses that could
venconce this. Yels	<u>cussed porting a</u>	Choranoci responsa	e portury to specific
		that support the ass	
(A fuller description, if necessary, must be attached. Also, where no attached.)	and a copy of the amendmer copy of the amendments wh	nts, if available, which the examiner ich would render the claims allowab	agreed would render the claims allowable ble is available, a summary thereof must be
		cord of the substance of the interview	
IO NOT WALLED AND MILET INC	CLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE M	· THE INTERVIEW ISEEMPER SEC	REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION action 713.04). If a reply to the last Office NATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be on</u> whether or not an addition with the substance of any face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u>

§1.133 Interviews

e reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the ble action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111

nsacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of r agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The-action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively fice. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

nd Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure rviews

e applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of

a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has erview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding ted solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent g out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth rom the interview recordation procedures below.

Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file ew, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the , the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

cordation of the following information:

he application

nal or telephonic)

(applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)

not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted

laims discussed

specific prior art discussed

n agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy ns agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the

aminer who conducted the interview

and Trademark Office personnel present.

a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and miner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by of the substance of the interview.

that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, oplicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

ecordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

he nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,

claims discussed.

ecific prior art discussed,

principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary e examiner,

the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or r highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature I arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to escribe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,

any other pertinent matters discussed, and

neral results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

arefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the e date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the w. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the er reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and